PART II: TOWARDS A NEW FORM OF A CLIENT REGIME*

Islamic "democracy" and "Brotherhoodization"

The very first acts of the presently all-powerful MB, under Morsi as president, were highly indicative of the sort of "democracy" Islamists had in mind.

The first case of a growing "Brotherhoodization" (as is called in Egypt the obvious attempt by MB to control the state's institutions, i.e. the army, parliament, media, as well as the future constitution drafted by a committee selected by the Islamist majority in parliament) referred to the control of the media being established by Morsi, which often ended up with some blatant cases of attempted censorship. Thus, several journalists faced trial on the usual charge of "insulting the president," including the long time nationalist activist and editor-in-chief of Egyptian weekly *Sawt Al-Omma*, Abdel-Halim Qandeel, as well as the editor-in-chief of weekly *Al-Fagr*, Adel Hamouda.¹ Strangely enough, as Tony Cartaluci² pointed out:

While similar actions around the world beget howling indignation from organizations including Freedom House, Amnesty International, Human Rights Watch, and IFEX — not to mention the US State Department itself which underwrites each of these faux-human rights advocates — there is not only absolute silence regarding this assault on "freedom of expression," but, instead, a collective chorus of support from the Western media, hailing Morsi's increasingly despotic dismantling of Egypt's checks and balances through an increasing aggressive consolidation of power, as a "step forward for revolution."

The only reaction from the defenders of "democracy" in the "world community" (read transnational elite and its stooges) was the expression of "concern" by the State Department spokeswoman Victoria Nuland, and an Amnesty International statement, according to which "it is very disappointing that journalists continue to face prosecution in Egypt for their writing in spite of the '25 January Revolution' and its hopes for change." Of course, Amnesty International was much more energetic when it had to condemn similar activities by SCAF not to mention its raging against the Gaddafi and Assad regimes! Thus: "under the SCAF," as

^{*} This article is an extract from the forthcoming book *Redesigning the Middle East: The Arab "Revolutions", Counter-Revolution in Iran and Regime Change* (Clarity Press, 2013).

¹ "Egypt's newspaper editors trial for insulting Morsi to begin Thursday," *Ahram Online* (21/8/2013). http://english.ahram.org.eg/NewsContent/1/0/50921/Egypt/0/Newspaper-editors-trial-for-insulting-Morsi-to-beg.aspx

² Tony Cartalucci, "West Celebrates as Dark Age Descends over Egypt," *Land Destroyer* (13/8/2012).

http://landdestroyer.blogspot.co.uk/2012/08/west-celebrates-as-dark-age-descends.html

Page | 28

Egypt Independent reported, "Amnesty International documented incidents of army troops and security forces raiding television studios reporting on crackdowns on demonstrations, and military prosecutors also summonsed and questioned journalists and others who publicly criticized the ruling military council." In fact, it was because of the above mild reaction from the transnational elite and its organs, and of the growing indignation by journalists and novelists to the prospect of a pre-trial detention of the journalist, as ordered by the court, that Morsi had to intervene personally to pass a law banning the pre-trial detention of journalists. But, as human rights activist Gamal Eid pointed out, "we want a law that bans any form of detention in crimes related to the press and not only a bar on journalists' detentions pending trials." And, of course, Morsi followed exactly the steps of Mubarak, as far as press freedom is concerned, when the Islamist-dominated upper house of parliament appointed new editors to several state newspapers!

Then, as Emad Gad, researcher at Al-Ahram Centre for Political and Strategic Studies, told *Ahram Online*, newly appointed Prime Minister Hisham Qandil recently assigned the Ministry of Information to a Brotherhood member, Salah Abdel-Maksoud. Thereafter, the Shura Council reshuffled the chief editors of state-owned newspapers, putting in place "either members of the Muslim Brotherhood or people with a similar line of thought." In fact, media control has gone as far as having one of the new editors remove an entire opinion section and *Al-Akhbar*, a prominent state-owned newspaper, was published without opinion pages days after the new chief editor was appointed. Also when the new editors-in-chief were appointed, several Egyptian writers and journalists writing for independent newspapers left their columns blank, as a protest against what was seen as a Brotherhood attempt to control state owned media. Even some scholars of Al-Azhar (the Sunni world's religious authority based in Cairo) joined the protest, calling for freedoms and against what they described as the dictatorship of the Muslim Brotherhood.

Another incident of an attempted Brotherhoodization refers to the case where representatives of the Egyptian Federation of Independent Trade Unions (EFITU) launched a campaign to press for the passage of a law protecting trade union freedoms before union elections in October, unveiling at the same time another campaign that would counter what they called "attempts to 'Brotherhoodize' the unions." Thus, it seems that the MB Manpower Minister Khaled al-Azhary

³ "Egypt must uphold freedom of expression, halt prosecution of journalist," *Egypt News* (23/8/2012). http://news.egypt.com/english/permalink/146450.html

⁴ "Egypt passes law banning pre-trial jail for journalists," *Egypt News* (23/8/2012). http://news.egypt.com/english/permalink/146605.html

⁵ "Brotherhoodisation of Egypt claims are overstated, say experts," *Ahram Online,* (30/8/2012). http://english.ahram.org.eg/NewsContent/1/64/51568/Egypt/Politics-/Brotherhoodisation-of-Egypt-claims-are-overstated,aspx

preferred to hold the upcoming union elections under the old law, passed in 1976 under Sadat, in effect annulling the trade unions conquest of independent from the state unions. As the EFITU statement rightly stressed, "Independent trade unions are one of the most important gains of the revolution because for the first time in more than 60 years workers are able to form unions." As the same statement emphasised, the EFITU would direct all its strength to counter the Freedom and Justice Party's attempts to dominate the labor movement and the "Brotherhoodization" of unions, while Kamal Abu Eita, head of the EFITU, added: "The current regime is similar to the former regime and does not want the freedom of trade unions. It wants to dominate the unions, but we will stand against Azhary until he issues a law on union freedoms."

But, the culmination of MB authoritarianism came with the anti-MB demonstration which was called by various opposition parties and organizations, both from the "Right" (i.e. supporters of the Mubarak regime) and the Left (supporters of Sabbahi, revolutionary socialists, communists and others). Then, as soon as the demonstration was called for August 24, a member of Al-Azhar's fatwa committee (Egypt's highest Islamic authority) made a statement that fighting participants in anti-Muslim Brotherhood demonstrations planned for 24 August was a religious obligation. During a seminar at the Diplomats' Club in Cairo, Sheikh Hashem Islam accused people intending to take part in the anticipated protests of committing "a major treason," adding the call: "Resist them; if they fight you, fight back, if they kill you, you are in paradise, if you kill them, there is no blood money."⁷ This was followed by a Twitter post by Essam al-Erian, the acting president of the Freedom and Justice Party (the political expression of Muslim Brotherhood), in which he accused Leftist Egypt News, parties of receiving funds from abroad, submitting to foreign powers and ignoring the role of religion in society!

Unsurprisingly, this provoked a furious reaction from the Left. Thus, Leftist activist Wael Khalil said, "The problem is not with the opinion expressed by Erian. The problem is that his statement coincides with Brotherhood campaigns against Hamdeen Sabbahi and Mohamed ElBaradei — and now the left as well. There is a trend within the Brotherhood to attack other factions, which is not good." Also, as Hossam El-Hamalawy a Revolutionary Socialist member told Al Ahram, he finds it "shocking coming from Essam El-Erian when the historic ties between the Brotherhood and the Gulf and others abroad are widely known." Pointing to the

⁶ "Independent trade unions demand union law before elections," *Egypt News* (31/8/2012). http://www.egyptindependent.com/news/independent-trade-unions-demand-union-law-elections

⁷ "Al-Azhar cleric encourages fighting demonstrators, sparks controversy," *Egypt Independent* (15/8/2012). http://www.egyptindependent.com/news/update-al-azhar-cleric-encourages-fighting-demonstrators-sparks-controversy

⁸ "Tweet by Essam al-Erian provokes anger of leftists," *Egypt Independent* (22/8/2012). http://www.egyptindependent.com/news/tweet-essam-al-erian-provokes-anger-leftists

Page | 30

irony of the Left being accused of receiving foreign funds, he stressed, "if there is any group in Egypt receiving foreign funding, then there is no doubt that the Muslim Brotherhood are one of those groups."⁹

As one could expect, the Americanized "revolutionaries," like the Union of Revolutionary Youth, condemned the demonstration and tried to defame it, as in a statement saying that the protest was called for by supporters of former Prime Minister and presidential candidate Ahmed Shafiq as a counter-revolutionary ploy, and accused the Supreme Council of the Armed Forces of backing the protest. Similarly, another "revolutionary" movement, the Democratic Front of April 6 Youth Movement, announced that it would not take part in the "millionman march," as some of the organizers called it, warning those whom it described as seeking to stir up sedition in the country that the Egyptian people will not allow spreading sedition and evils in the country. This, despite the fact that several of the groups that planned to take part in the protest claimed to oppose both military rule and the Brotherhood!

At the same time, the Secretary General of the Muslim Brotherhood Mahmoud Hussein, in full knowledge that the date of the demonstration was chosen because it marks the burning down of the Brotherhood's offices back in the 1950s, issued a deliberately vague press statement, asserting its support for peaceful demonstrations as long as such rights and freedoms are exercised within the boundaries of the country's constitution and law, without causing damage to state institutions and facilities or to private property, adding ominously the vague threat "and without harming or hindering people's interests." ¹³ It was not therefore surprising that when even Sabbahi, who now leads the Popular Current movement, said that he would not after all join (presumably fearing that the government would declare his movement illegal), while many of the independent radical activists had second thoughts out of fear of a Brotherhood crackdown (even Yasser Ali, Morsi's spokesman, criticized the spreading of an atmosphere of intimidation against demonstrators calling it "unhealthy" ¹⁴) the "million-man" demo idea became doomed and, in the event, the demonstration was much

⁹ "Egyptian leftists hit back after Brotherhood's anti-left statements," *Ahram Online/Egypt News* (23/8/2012). http://news.egypt.com/english/permalink/146484.html

¹⁰ "Protest against Brotherhood raises more controversy," *Egypt Independent* (22/8/2012). http://www.egyptindependent.com/news/protest-against-brotherhood-raises-more-controversy

¹¹ "April 6 Movement Says Will Not Participate in August 24 Protest," *Egypt News* (23/8/2012). http://news.egypt.com/english/permalink/146462.html

¹² "Egypt revolutionaries to steer clear of Friday's anti-Brotherhood rally," *Ahram Online/Egypt News* (23/8/2012). http://news.egypt.com/english/permalink/146385.html

¹³ "We respect right to peaceful protest, demonstration: Egypt Brotherhood," *Egypt News* (23/8/2012). http://news.egypt.com/english/permalink/146459.html

¹⁴ "Protecting peaceful demonstrations duty of State institutions: Egypt Presidency," *Egypt News* (23/8/2012). http://news.egypt.com/english/permalink/146498.html

smaller than expected, in a general atmosphere of intimidation, with MB "activists" stoning the demonstrators and chasing them out of Tahrir Square which they occupied, presumably under the protection of security forces. This is how an *Egypt Independent* reporter described the way in which Morsi "attacked Leftist demonstrators:¹⁵

Shortly afterwards, a small number of protestors — the aforementioned Progressive Youth Coalition and the Revolutionary Communist Party crowd — attempting to enter Tahrir through Talaat Harb street while chanting in favor of workers and farmers' rights, were first blocked, and then threatened by members of the pro-Morsy crowd. "Take it from me, and get out of here," one of the latter said to this reporter, "before you regret it." Upon hearing Egypt Independent's explanation, the man frowns, "there's no media here." A few minutes later, rocks are hurled at the Morsy opponents, much to the dismay of the curious onlookers and shopkeepers peering out from half-shuttered storefronts. (...) Clearly shaken by the aggression displayed towards her and her colleagues, Mona Abdel Rady, of the Central Committee of the leftist Tagammu' Party, describes the tactics of the Morsy supporters as "pure terrorism. This country does not belong to the Muslim Brotherhood, or the Salafis, it belongs to all Egyptians. But this is all those people know — violence. You only need to look around you. They're carrying sticks and stones and rocks and knives," she pointed out. "What do we have except these two banners and some flags? And yet, we're the 'troublemakers', supposedly."

Yet, despite the climate of fear created by Islamists, tens of thousands of people took part in the demonstrations of August 24th all over the country. As RT accurately described it, "despite being smaller than the demonstrations that ousted the former regime, Friday's rally in Cairo was the biggest so far, that the new Islamic rulers have faced." Needless to add that the mass media of the "world community" (i.e. of the transnational elite in the West) did not utter a word about these events, obviously, in order not to damage the "democratic" image of their protégés in Egypt, the Muslim Brotherhood and Morsi. At the same time, the "alternative" media of the liberal "Left" like *Counterpunch* were completely silent on the participation of radical Left supporters in the demonstration and talked only about supporters of the previous regime and liberals, following faithfully the Morsi line and that of the transnational elite that those calling for the anti-MB demo were mainly remnants of the Mubarak regime, including, at best, some liberals!:

¹⁵ "Tahrir, home for unity and fault lines," *Egypt Independent* (25/8/2012). http://www.egyptindependent.com/news/tahrir-home-unity-and-fault-lines

^{16 &}quot;Tahrir troubles: Biggest rally yet against Egypt's new rulers," *RT* (25/8/ 2012). http://rt.com/news/protest-muslim-brotherhood-tahrir-527/

Page | 32

Barely a month into his presidency, his opponents, which included not only SCAF and Shafiq supporters, but also anti-Islamic liberal and secular groups, called for mass protests to oust him that were scheduled for August 24 under the theme "toppling the rule of the Brotherhood." ¹⁷

Yet, this was not in fact an isolated incident, as similar was the fate of another demonstration called by organizations of the Left against the request from the MB government for an IMF loan, on the occasion of the visit of IMF's chairman Christine Lagarde to discuss the conditions of the loan. The protest also called (rightly) for a national economic policy based on independent industrial and agricultural development, i.e. for a program of self-reliance, which is the only way for a country today to break from neoliberal globalization. 18 However, with the state media controlled by MB and the private ones by the economic elites, which every reason to perpetuate Egypt's dependence, "revolutionaries" had obviously no reason to attend it, the demonstration was small and the MB government felt free to continue the economic policies of the Sadat and Mubarak regimes: i.e. the policies of dependence on foreign loans (like the IMF loan, secured through the good services of USA¹⁹), foreign investment (Qatar, of all places, has been the only country so far to commit itself to invest a very significant amount, \$18b, on tourism etc) and foreign aid (Saudi Arabia) – giving a clear indication of who the backers are of the new regime.²⁰ As speakers on a Cairo conference on the IMF loan stressed, "the IMF loan is not just money that will be spent just like any other loan, but will be used in the same ways as before, to enact certain policies," (Wael Gamal). Needless to add that all speakers were unanimous in rejecting such a loan, pointing out to the stringent austerity package, including selling-off state assets and subsidies cuts, which Egypt would have likely to implement in order to qualify for loan instalments. Also, as Anders Lustgarten perceptively pointed out, the Arab uprising represented a "great Middle East beanfeast" for development banks looking to make profits and concluded:

The IMF, European Bank for Reconstruction and Development, World Bank and International Finance Corporation, all of which have pledged assistance to a democratic Egypt, were "deeply involved in Mubarak era policies. They are not designing programmes to meet your needs," he claimed citing numerous examples of privatisation initiatives in post-

¹⁷ Esam al-Almin, "Egyptian Military Checkmated," Counterpunch (24-26/8/2012).

¹⁸ Takis Fotopoulos, *Greece as a protectorate of the transnational elite: the need for an immediate exit from the EU and a self-reliant economy* (Athens: Gordios 2010) (in Greek).

¹⁹ "US close to finalising \$1 billion debt relief deal with Egypt: Official," *Ahram Online* (4/9/2012). http://english.ahram.org.eg/NewsContent/3/12/51948/Business/Economy/US-close-to-finalising--billion-debt-relief-deal-w.aspx

²⁰ "IMF's Lagarde in Egypt Wednesday to kick-start loan talks," *Ahram Online* (21/8/2012). http://english.ahram.org.eg/NewsContent/3/0/50916/Business/0/IMFs-Lagarde-in-Egypt-Wednesday-to-kickstart-loan-.aspx

Soviet Eastern Europe which pledged development yet seemingly specialised in "transferring public wealth into private hands." ²¹

However, some sort of Front of the Left seems is emerging lately "from below." Thus, a new demo was called at the very end of August, by Revolutionary Socialists, the Socialist Popular Alliance Party, the Socialist Youth Union, the Tagammu Party (whose leader Saeed Abdel Aal said that the protest aims to unify leftist forces who are "the only forces that have an alternative project to 'Brotherhoodizing' the state"22) and other Left organizations. On the day of the demonstration, thousands of protesters gathered in Talaat Harb Square and kept chanting "Bread, freedom, social justice!" and insisting that "The revolution still continues."23 The demonstration was dominated by the Kefaya Movement, the Revolutionary Socialists and the Egyptian Communist parties, along with some independent activists and artists.²⁴ Although the demonstration was small, perhaps not attracting more than 5,000 people, it is significant that just a month or so after the Islamist takeover, when most of the people have not vet realized that they are about to see a continuation of the economic policies which led them to the 2011 uprising, the organizations which called for the demo, which are directly against the MB and indirectly against the Tahrir "revolutionaries," could attract a significant number of people. Clearly, as the situation deteriorates in the forthcoming months and years and people start realizing that the problem is not to protect some rights within the system but to fight against the NWO of neoliberal globalization and for a self-reliant economy and society, this movement is bound to flourish, particularly if the Left organizations abandon their usual sectarianism and are prepared to create a popular front with Nasserites and the likes to fight for these demands.

In fact, the return of the masses to Tahrir square in December 2012 was not just on account of a conflict between Islamists and secularists, as the MB and the liberals in Egypt, together with the media of the transnational elite, have presented it. The demand for the cancellation of the Islamic constitution referendum (which goes as far as to abolish the very foundation of any democratic state, i.e. citizenship!)²⁵, simply expresses the popular frustration

²¹ "Egypt campaigners launch new salvo against IMF loan," *Ahram Online* (9/9/2012). http://english.ahram.org.eg/NewsContent/3/12/52400/Business/Economy/Egypt-campaigners-launch-new-salvo-against-IMF-loa.aspx>

²² "Leftist forces to stage Friday protest against Brotherhood," *Egypt Independent* (31/8/2012). http://www.egyptindependent.com/news/leftist-forces-stage-friday-protest-against-brotherhood

²³ "Thousands demonstrate for implementation of revolution's goals, slamming Muslim Brotherhood," *Ahram Online* (1/9/2012). http://english.ahram.org.eg/News/51747.aspx
²⁴ Randa Ali, "Friday's protest leaves activists divided," *Ahram Online* (1/9/2012). http://english.ahram.org.eg/NewsContent/1/64/51769/Egypt/Politics-/Fridays-protest-leaves-activists-divided.aspx

²⁵ See "Comparison of Egypt's suspended and draft constitutions," BBC News

over the fact that effectively nothing has changed since the 2011 "revolution" as regards the socio-economic condition of weaker social groups (workers, small farmers, the self-employed in the service sector et al. who constitute the vast majority of the population), and that the new regime is simply concerned with Sharia law and similar irrationalities.

However, the liberal "Left," seems, on the surface, to be completely ignorant of all these developments and continues to support the myth that a revolutionary government is rising in Egypt, following the Tahrir "revolution." Thus, a recent article in *Counterpunch* can only be interpreted as a pure piece of propaganda for Morsi, in its high appreciation of his "efficiency," "honesty" and "shrewdness" in organizing his counter-coup against the SCAF under Tantawi!²⁶ It is no wonder that the article "forgot" the real Left in Egypt which I briefly described above, and concluded: "With the exceptions of Mubarak's remnants his actions were overwhelmingly approved by Egyptians from diverse political, ideological, and pro-revolution groups."²⁷ In fact, *Counterpunch*, following the transnational elite's line, continued to expand on the same theme even after Morsi and the MB had shown their real intentions of imposing an Islamic pseudo-democratic regime as the new form of client state adopted by the transnational elite. Thus, the conclusion reached by this "Left" journal is in fact exactly the same as the one reached by the main media of the transnational elite, i.e. that "the best course of action might be to accept Vice President Makki's proposal to reach a compromise on the few disputed articles in order to bring about a consensus"²⁸ — a conclusion ignoring the fact that this is not a matter of just changing one article here and another there, but of changing the whole spirit of the constitution which has been transformed from one based on Arab nationalism and secularism (as was the 1971 constitution drafted while Nasserism was still dominant in Egypt) to one based on a "democratic" form of Islamism.

But let us see in more detail the kind of domestic and foreign policies implemented so far by the MB, so that we may better appreciate their role as the main instrument of the New World Order in Egypt — and beyond.

Muslim Brotherhood's foreign policy

Starting first with Morsi's and the MB's foreign policy, there is little doubt so far that it is a completely clientelistic policy with respect to the transnational and Zionist elites, despite the deceiving rhetoric of MB and Morsi, which is uncritically adopted (to say the least!), by the liberal "Left." Thus, one point particularly

article on the identity of the state (30/11/2012). <u>http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-middle-east-20555478</u>?

²⁶ Esam al-Almin, "Egyptian Military Checkmated," *Counterpunch* (24-26/8/2012).

²⁷ Ibid.

²⁸ Esam al-Amin, "In Egypt: When Democracy is not an Option," *Counterpunch* (7-9/12/2012).

stressed by both the *Counterpunch* article and also by a US-Afghanistan commercial think-tank based in USA (strangely hosted by RT!) is about the relations that supposedly Morsi may intend to develop with respect to Iran and China. Thus, according to *Counterpunch*²⁹:

President Morsi recently extended an extremely warm welcome to Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad when the two met last week during the Islamic Conference in Saudi Arabia. He subsequently announced a visit to China and Iran at the end of August despite the U.S. public displeasure over the visit. (...) On Aug. 23, the *New York Times* reported that the U.S. and Israel were extremely concerned about such overtures between Tehran and Cairo and that such concerns will be at the top of the agenda when Morsi visits Washington at the end of September.

Similarly, according to Michael Hughes, foreign policy strategist at the Washington-based New World Strategies Coalition, a USA based US-Afghan think tank: "Now President Mohamed Morsi is off to China next week seeking investment, which sends a clear message that he is trying to rebalance, shifting away from the West (...) in the long term [the Egyptians] want to get better with China, who can fill the gap so that they don't have to rely on the West anymore." 30

Of course, all this belongs to the area of science fiction. Morsi simply travelled to China with the hope of attracting some Chinese investment to the almost bankrupt Egyptian economy (as Greece's Papandreou had also done before him, despite the fact that Greece is a perfect client regime of the transnational elite!), on top of the cash infusions he had already received from the Gulf states (Qatar, Saudi Arabia) and USA, and indeed he seems to have succeeded, according to reports, to sign investment agreements of about \$5bn.³¹ Morsi's stand at the summit of the Non-Aligned Movement in Tehran proved this point but also disproved another Hughes' "discovery" according to which:

"The unspoken link here is Israel," Hughes said, explaining that Egypt can leverage its relationship to put pressure on Israel to stop this loose talk about bombing Iran. "Here's the connection, for Morsi starts looking like a geopolitical genius. If he can pull this off, if he can make Israel to step back with the whole 'bombing Iran' thing — that would be pretty amazing," Michael Hughes said. "After all, if Egypt finds Iran as a partner they don't have to rely on the US or Israel, and they can offset and counterbalance the Gulf monarchies." 32

²⁹ Ibid

²⁹ Ihid

³⁰ "Iran and Egypt going to offset Saudi and US in Mid-East," *Russia Today* (25/8/2012). http://rt.com/news/egypt-rebalance-east-hughes-535/

³¹ "Morsy scores US\$4.9 bn in investments on China trip: Spokesman," *Egypt News* (30/8/2012). http://news.egypt.com/english/permalink/148389.html

³² Op. cit. "Iran and Egypt going to offset Saudi and US in Mid-East."

Yet, in fact, neither Morsi nor MB had anything of the sort in mind, as it was shown by their reaction to the Sinai incident, their strong commitments to Camp David, their full support to the criminal "rebels" of Syria and so on. In fact, to clear any remaining doubts, the Egyptian presidency rushed, even before Morsi had begun his trip to China and Iran, to give the required "explanations" to the transnational elite. Thus, according to a report in *Egypt Independent*:

Egyptian President Mohamed Morsy has no immediate plans to restore ties with Iran, his spokesperson said in comments published Saturday ahead of a landmark visit to Tehran later this month for a Non-Aligned Movement summit, AFP has reported. "The matter [of restoring diplomatic ties] is out of the question at this stage," Yasser Ali told the Saudi-owned newspaper As-Sharq al-Awsat in an interview also carried by Egyptian media. Morsi will spend only four hours in Tehran on 30 August, long enough to hand over the presidency of the Non-Aligned Movement to the Islamic republic, Egypt's state-owned Al-Ahram newspaper reported. The Egyptian president will stop in Tehran on his way back from a 36-hour visit to China, the country he chose for his first major international outing, Al-Ahram added.³³

This was in reply to a comment by Iranian Foreign Minister Ali Akbar Salehi who said in an interview,³⁴ published a few days earlier, that Iran and Egypt are moving towards restoring diplomatic relations, which were severed more than three decades ago, following the Islamic revolution in Iran, to protest against Egypt and Israel agreeing on their 1979 Camp David Accords! In fact, Morsi, to dispel any remaining Iranian or Chinese doubts, at the Non-Aligned meeting in Tehran, made a very hostile statement against the Assad regime (and indirectly full in favour of the so called "rebels" in Syria), which even forced the Syrian delegation to walk out of the meeting — in full knowledge that both the Iranian hosts of the meeting and the Chinese delegation would very much disapprove of his statement. This is how the New York Times described Morsi's statement, (which of course the flagship of the transnational elite fully endorsed): "With the Iranian president, Mahmoud Ahmadinejad, sitting beside him, Mr. Morsi spoke of an "oppressive regime" in Syria and said the opposition should unite in its effort to unseat President Bashar al-Assad.35 This was followed by a report in the London Times, according to which, "Mr Morsi's forthright comments on Syria -

³³ "Egypt-Iran ties off the table for now, FMs discuss situation in Syria," *Egypt Independent* (25/8/2012). http://www.egyptindependent.com/news/egypt-iran-ties-table-now-fms-discuss-situation-syria

³⁴ "Iran, Egypt move to restore diplomatic ties: Salehi," *France 24 News* (21/8/2012). http://www.france24.com/en/20120821-iran-egypt-move-restore-diplomatic-ties-salehi ³⁵ Thomas Erdbrink and Rick Gladstone, "Egypt's Morsi Pushes for End of 'Oppressive Regime' in Syria," *New York Times* (30/8/2012).

made just two weeks after he secured his powerbase at home by sweeping out powerful generals who had been close to the ousted president, Hosni Mubarak — indicate that he is trying to carve out a role as the leader of the Arab Spring."³⁶

The *Times* report is particularly significant because it gives indirectly a good idea about the true nature of "Arab Spring," which is very close to the analysis of this book. In fact, it is clear now that Morsi went to the meeting with the aim to express the solidarity of Egyptian "revolutionaries" to the criminals taking part in the Syrian armed insurrection. Morsi himself said at the meeting that his own election was the result of the same Arab Spring protest movement that triggered the revolt against Assad in Syria.³⁷ In fact, the analyst of the international liberal "Left" flagship, the *Guardian*, was even more blunt!³⁸

(Morsi) gave an uncompromising speech that could have come straight from Hillary Clinton's playbook. (...) Morsi's intervention will encourage Saudi Arabia and the Gulf states in their active support for the Syrian uprising. It may, in time, foster an increasingly interventionist, united Arab front against Bashar al-Assad's regime, as happened in Libya after the Arab League turned against Muammar Gaddafi. Given the myriad pre-existing tensions between the Sunni Muslim Gulf states and predominantly Shia Muslim Iran, the development of such a front would logically serve to further restrain Tehran's ambitions.

On the other hand, the comments on the matter by significant Middle East analysts like Mustafa Ellabbad, director of Al-Sharq Centre for Regional and Strategic Studies and the journalist and broadcaster Neil Clark are enlightening. According to the former, the potential for Iran-Egypt ties should not be overestimated, since Iran cannot serve as "strategic partner" to Egypt, given that strategic partnerships require harmonious political systems and common goals and values, which are non-existent in this case. As he put it, "Iran-Egypt relations might have a new framework and new terminology while largely remaining the same. Egypt might play new roles, but the quality of these roles will be bridled by Egypt's strategic partnerships with Saudi Arabia, the US and Israel." Neil Clark was even more revealing, aptly describing Morsi's motives behind his stand at the meeting of non-aligned nations, which proved beyond any doubt that he and the Muslim Brotherhood function as stooges of the transnational elite:

³⁶ James Hider, "Syria summit walkout as Egypt's new leader supports rebels," *The Times* (1/9/2012).

³⁷ "US welcomes UN and Egyptian criticism of Iran and Syria," *AFP* (30/8/2012). www.google.com/hostednews/afp/article/ALeqM5iyvtmOA7hXeq9-500MIG0f81HcUA?docId=CNG.ffa46a17e4a24172cdb19bfa8da68ae7.d51

³⁸ Simon Tisdall, "Unpredictable Morsi speaks for the world on Syria, but how will the world respond?," *The Guardian* (31/8/2012).

³⁹ Dina Samir, "Iran rapprochement: Prospects and challenges," *Ahram Online* (29/8/2012). http://english.ahram.org.eg/News/51448.aspx

I think it's all part of the plan to go there and sabotage the summit. A lot of people were very naïve about Egypt. They thought there was going to be a meaningful change in Egypt's foreign policy after what happened last year, but it's continuing. It's more of the same. I think that's part of the game. The US will be very pleased with what Morsi said.⁴⁰

And indeed, the US State Department congratulated Morsi publicly, as the French Press Agency (AFP) describes its enthusiastic reaction:

US State Department spokesman Patrick Ventrell hailed Morsy's stern slap down of Bashar al-Assad's Syrian regime, which caused the Syrian delegation to walk out of a session the Tehran summit in anger. "They are very helpful comments. They are very clear, very strong. Really strong and clear statement by President Morsy, obviously made in Tehran," he said, suggesting the remarks had more power for having been made in Iran. "His comments in support of the Syrian people were very clear and we share Egypt's goal to see an end to the Assad regime and an end to the bloodshed and a transition to a democratic Syria that respects human rights." 41

In fact, Morsi had the nerve to compare the genuine popular revolution against a truly reactionary and criminal regime like that of the Shah of Iran with the armed rebellion of mostly foreigners recruited by the secret services of the transnational elite, as well as of Islamic fundamentalists recruited by such "progressive" regimes as that of Saudi Arabia and Qatar. Thus Morsi's spokesman quoted him telling Ahmadinejad:

"The public opinion in the Arab region believes that Iran's support of the Syrian regime distances it from the Arab world." He also reminded Ahmadinejad that the Arab people sided with the Iranian people when the Shah attacked them with tanks. Morsy was likely referring to the crackdown on demonstrators by Shah Mohammad Reza Pahlavi in 1978, during the demonstrations that eventually toppled him.⁴²

Obviously, an a-historical comparison of such a dimension could only be made either by an idiot or somebody playing a completely different role from the one he claims to play, and Morsi is not an idiot!

⁴⁰ "We can't take Morsi at face value," *Russia Today* (30/8/2012). http://rt.com/news/iran-summit-nuclear-syria-969/

⁴¹ Ibid.

⁴² "Morsy and Ahmadinejad did not discuss relations, embassy at summit," *Egypt Independent* (1/9/2012). http://www.egyptindependent.com/news/morsy-and-ahmadinejad-did-not-discuss-relations-embassy-summit

Needless to add that the pseudo-revolutionaries of Tahrir Square also congratulated Morsi with Engy Hamdy of the April 6 Youth Movement stating that "the speech proved Morsy has a vision shaped by revolutionary demands" and other liberal leaders and human rights activists and the like taking a similar stand. At the same time, Egyptian Islamists of every persuasion, from MB supporters up to Salafists, called their supporters to the airport to welcome Morsi and to show their support for his Tehran speech! Furthermore, the night before an Arab League meeting at its headquarters in Cairo was expected to discuss the latest developments in Syria, "activists" (presumably MB and pseudo-revolutionaries of Tahrir), attempted to storm the Syrian embassy in Cairo to replace the national flag there with a Syrian rebel one, as Reuters reported! Finally, it is hardly surprising that the liberal opposition to Morsi follows exactly the same policy on Syria. Thus, Mussa, the ex-head of the Arab League and one of the liberal leaders was very clear in his support for the Syrian "revolutionaries":

Things will come to an end by the mere fact that the people had already voiced their opposition to the government [of Syria]. And they will continue to do so — they paid a lot — heavy price for that. And therefore those who believe that they can maintain the status quo are really mistaken. And their mistake will affect the fate of so many people in Syria and in the Arab countries.⁴⁶

Muslim Brotherhood's domestic policy: neoliberal globalization with a "human" face

However, it is not only the foreign policy of Morsi and the MB that is indicative of their true role. His first steps on domestic policies with respect to workers' strikes and industrial action, including demonstrations, confirm the client nature of his regime. First, as a good neoliberal manager, he declared immediately after his coup against SCAF under Tantawi that "now we must push for production and investment. We have no doubt our dreams will be realised" adding that "security forces would not tolerate protesters who blocked roads or committed any other actions that would impact productivity."⁴⁷ Then, he proceeded to action. The technocrat he appointed as prime minister, Hesham Qandil, held talks at the end of August with leaders of the Egypt Trade Union Federation (ETUF) to discuss a

⁴³ "Morsys speech in Tehran angers Syria, but crowd welcomes him back home," *Egypt Independent* (30/8//2012). http://www.egyptindependent.com/news/update-morsy-s-speech-tehran-angers-syria-crowd-welcomes-him-back-home

 $^{^{44}}$ "Egypt activists hail Morsi's swipe at Assad at NAM summit," <code>Ahram Online</code> (30/8/2012). <code>http://english.ahram.org.eg/NewsContent/1/64/51680/Egypt/Politics-/Egypt-activists-hail-Morsis-swipe-at-Assad-at-NAM-.aspx</code>

⁴⁵ "Police tear gas activists attacking Syrian embassy in Cairo," *Chicago Tribune* (4/9/2012). http://articles.chicagotribune.com/2012-09-04/news/sns-rt-us-syria-crisis-embassy-egyptbre883133-20120904 1 syrian-city-tim-pearce-syrian-embassy>

⁴⁶ "Amr Moussa: The changes we wanted after the revolution have not happened," *Russia Today* (10/12/2012). http://rt.com/news/interview-amr-moussa-egypt-revolution-749/

⁴⁷ Abdel-rahman Hussein, "Egypt military bows to Morsi's orders," *The Guardian* (14/8/2012).

Page | 40

plan to halt workers' protests and strikes at all production sites for a year (as his Minister of Manpower and Immigration Khaled al-Azhary said at a press conference), with the aim to increase production and boost the economy. 48 This, in a country with 40% of its people living below the poverty line and with high unemployment and (consequently) emigration rates! Furthermore Muslim Brotherhood did not stop with general threats against workers but proceeded with more concrete threats. Thus, the Centre for Trade Union and Workers' Services (CTUWS) accused the Freedom and Justice Party of pressuring workers at the Nile Spinning and Weaving Company in Sadat City to end their strike against the dismissal of 34 of their colleague, threatening them that the security forces would forcibly end the strike! All this, because Nile Spinning and Weaving Company workers have been on strike for three months demanding regular pay rises, social benefits and food allowances as well as the rehiring of the sacked workers.⁴⁹

No wonder that the MB government announced also that it is preparing a new emergency law to replace the hated old emergency law in force under Mubarak. Although, following a storm of criticism after the announcement, Prime Minister Qandil seemed to be backtracking, yet, he said he agreed with the interior minister that certain steps must be taken to restore security in the streets, while in a meeting with security leaders Interior Minister Ahmed Gamal Eddin said that security efforts will continue to intensify, singling out those who obstruct the work of state facilities and roads, while Qandil warned that the Interior Ministry will arrest anyone who blocks roads, as such an act is an assault on the freedom of other citizens. He added that anyone convicted of this crime would be sentenced according to the penal code, which stipulates three years in prison. ⁵⁰

Finally, under the usual pretext of "tourist development," the MB did not have any qualms even to restrict demonstrations at the symbolic place of the "revolution": Tahrir Square! This is how an obviously deceptive press announcement revealed the MB plans to restrict the right to demonstrate at this emblematic place — something that even to Mubarak was inconceivable:

A plan to develop Tahrir Square will be submitted to Prime Minister Hesham Qandil next month, said Local Development Minister Ahmed Zaki Abdeen. The plan will involve the Tourism Ministry, along with the Local Development Ministry, and will include the allocation of peaceful

⁴⁸ "Egypt PM meets union heads to discuss labor strikes," *Egypt News* (24/8/2012). http://news.egypt.com/english/permalink/146772.html

 $^{^{49}}$ "Trade union criticises FJP members for threatening striking workers," *Ahram Online* (28/8/2012). <u>http://english.ahram.org.eg/NewsContent/1/64/51470/Egypt/Politics-/Trade-union-criticises-FJP-members-for-threatening.aspx</u>

⁵⁰ "Cabinet will not recreate Emergency Law: Egyptian PM," *Egypt News* (30/8/2012). http://news.egypt.com/english/permalink/148539.html

demonstration areas that do not disrupt traffic and which are also located away from the landscaping areas.⁵¹

Of course, MB had their reasons to take preventive action against strikes, and social unrest in general, as they are well aware about what is going to follow the neoliberal policies they are about to implement. Thus, in Morsi's first speech following the ejection of Tantawi and Anan, many references affirmed his commitment to developing the private sector and encouraging greater private investment, whereas Khairat El-Shater, deputy head of the Muslim Brotherhood, proposed three ways to deal with the current financial and economic crisis: taking loans, opening the market for foreign and Arab investment, and better use of the country's resources!⁵²

Furthermore, the agreement on an IMF loan, which the Islamist FJP party, backtracking on its previous decision, has just accepted, will simply institutionalize these policies. Yet, back in April 2012, under the interim government of prime minister Kamal El-Ganzouri, the FJP members of parliament in the now-dissolved parliament unanimously rejected a similar IMF loan, arguing that the economic reform programme attached to the loan did not include proposals to improve public security, reduce poverty or provide the revenue to raise wages! 53 However, as it is standard IMF practice under neoliberal globalization, the first condition to be imposed on the MB government, for the IMF loan to be granted, is further liberalization of the economy, so that it could become "competitive." This means cutting the budget deficit and therefore drastically diminishing food subsidies for the poor, introducing even greater labor "flexibility," so that wages can be kept at the minimum possible, abolishing subsidies to the domestic industry (either in the industrial or the agricultural sector, so that the budget deficit is reduced and at the same time the economy becomes more "liberalized") and so on. It is in view of these forthcoming developments that the MB government attempts at present to check any serious industrial action.

In fact, as regards the cut of production subsidies, the MB government has already announced its intentions. First, it announced that it will phase out energy subsidies for heavy industry.⁵⁴ Governments in Egypt had traditionally supported existing cement ventures by providing the fuel they required to generate electricity, at prices significantly lower than market rates. In their quest to reduce the budget deficit, consecutive Egyptian governments have announced plans to slash this costly subsidy. In fact, the present budget recommends the abrogation

⁵¹ "Minister-Demonstration areas will be designated in Tahrir," *Egypt News* (8/9/2012). http://news.egypt.com/english/permalink/150874.html

⁵² See "Brotherhoodisation of Egypt claims are overstated, say experts."

⁵³ "Egypt Brotherhood's party backtracks; tacitly approves IMF loan," *Egypt News* (27/8/2012). http://news.egypt.com/english/permalink/147487.html

⁵⁴ "Egypt govt to phase out energy subsidies for heavy industry: Minister," *Egypt News* (27/8/2012). http://news.egypt.com/english/permalink/147532.html

Page | 42

of all fuel subsidies for energy-intensive industries by the end of the 2012/13 fiscal year, given that fuel subsidies make up 48 per cent of Egypt's total subsidy bill, which amounts to LE (Egyptian pounds) 148.5 billion, including food subsidies. In general, subsidies contribute almost one third of total state expenditures. One could imagine what will happen to the prices of electricity and energy in general paid by workers, farmers and generally low income groups, whereas their wages will be mostly frozen. And all this, apart from the fact that local cement factories and small industries will be hardly hit by any increases in the price of energy and they will become uncompetitive, opening the road for cheaper imports and the destruction of whatever is left of local industry. However, this is what neoliberal globalization is all about and the Islamist government is committed to it, as long as Islamists are left free to pray, even if they are unemployed or hungry!

The effects of neoliberal globalization are shown even more clearly in the agricultural sector. As we saw in ch. 9, Nasser's 1952 tenancy law had enforced a policy of redistributing land to small farmers with landowners at the time not allowed to own more than 500 feddans, an amount subsequently reduced to 50 feddans (1 feddan = 1.038 acres). Then, in May 1971, just a year after Nasser's death, Sadat launched a "rectification revolution" and "de-Nasserisation" process, while in June 1974, he passed a law cancelling all sequestration operations under the decrees of 1961. The legislation provided for the return of 60,000 hectares to their former owners, under the fierce resistance of poor farmers. Then, the Mubarak law of 1992 (the "Owners and Tenants Law") attempted to liberalize agricultural land by selling it at high prices, while stipulating that all tenants must eventually return the arable land to its original owners, completely reversing Nasser's 1952 law. As a result, approximately one million Egyptian families lost their land after the law was enacted in 1997, ultimately affecting some six million farmers and leading to an exponential rise to the price of land. No wonder that, as it is reported, in some villages today, land prices had increased by some 1200 per cent over the same period!

As Basheer Saqr, veteran activist for farmers' rights and founder of the Farmers Solidarity Committee (an initiative launched in 2005 to work towards ensuring these rights) recently argued, "these factors have all served to strip the Egyptian farmer of his land, and thus his identity." Furthermore, as he stressed, Sadat in the late 1970s opened the door to foreign companies (e.g. Monsanto, the multinational agricultural-biotechnology corporation) that produce and market agricultural goods, which came at the expense of their locally produced counterparts. Thus, Egyptian farmers by becoming dependent on foreign seeds, were prevented from achieving self-sufficiency — the precondition for neoliberal

⁵⁵ "Farmers rights advocate challenges Egypt post-Mubarak agriculture policy," *Ahram Online* (27/8/2012). http://english.ahram.org.eg/NewsContent/1/64/51319/Egypt/Politics-/Farmers-rights-advocate-challenges-Egypts-postMuba.aspx

globalization to work. Also, Mubarak and his notorious agriculture minister, Youssef Wali, spearheaded several agricultural reforms pushed for by the IMF and the World Bank, among which was the importation by the state of large amounts of carcinogenic pesticides, which led to a health crisis throughout the country.

As a result of this process of liberalization in agriculture pursued by the two client regimes succeeding Nasser (under Sadat and Mubarak), as Basheer Saqr pointed out, Egypt's Fellahin, or small farmers, who were once considered the backbone of Egyptian self-sufficiency, have over the last four decades become increasingly imperilled due to a number of debilitating internal and external factors. Even worse, despite last year's (2011) January 25 Revolution, Egypt's fellahin have remained largely marginalized, remaining in a position of financial vulnerability and dependency. And then Saqr meaningfully adds:⁵⁶

The revolution was largely a revolution of the cities, mainly Cairo, Alexandria and Suez. The countryside didn't really take part in it. No leadership emerged to mobilise [revolutionary] forces in the countryside. (...) Not only has the current government adopted the same economic policies of the former regime, but the Muslim Brotherhood — from which President Morsi hails — has for decades been hostile to farmers' core demands

Most importantly, as Saqr pointed out, the Brotherhood opposes the notion of land redistribution, which it believes contradicts the tenets of Islamic law. Therefore, many Brotherhood members support Mubarak's reactionary Law 96/1992! Unsurprisingly, Saqr drew the crucial conclusion that "sooner or later, policies must be carried out to provide Egypt's farmers with the guidance they need to ensure self-sustainability — for the farmers themselves and for the nation at large."

Clearly, Saqr will soon realise that the MB government was selected by the transnational and local elites to do exactly the opposite: to fully integrate Egypt into neoliberal globalization and lead to the destruction of any possibility for self-sufficiency!

Egypt as a client "democratic" state

It is clear that following the MB's soft counter-coup and the "modernization" of SCAF, the new regime based on Islamic "democratization" would primarily aim at meeting the needs of the transnational and local elites, with the indirect help of the "revolutionary" forces (liberals, Facebook Youth, NGOs and the rest), who at the moment have been assigned the role of the unofficial "opposition" — on behalf of the transnational elite, of course! This will inevitably lead to the full integration of Egypt into the New World Order of neoliberal globalization and representative

⁵⁶ Ibid.

Page | 44

"democracy." However, the very serious socio-economic problems which led to the mass uprising of 2011, following its triggering by the "revolutionaries," obviously, won't go away but instead are expected to get worse.

Thus, as even liberal academics like Nathan Brown, professor of political science and international affairs at George Washington University stressed, ⁵⁷ the Brotherhood's program "focuses much of its attention on good governance, the rule of law, the provision of social services, and an economic policy that owes more to the Washington consensus than to 7th-century Arabia." So, despite its Islamic rhetoric about Zionist Israel, as the same observer points out, even if the Brotherhood wishes to "renegotiate" the peace treaty with Israel, the Zionists will not be prepared to make anything more than cosmetic changes to it. Similarly, despite MB's deep and emotional opposition to the blockade on Gaza, "it has also effectively told its cousins in Hamas that their cause will have to wait." Therefore, as Brown notes, the real question is "how effective it can be in its program when it is faced with crushing economic problems, a feisty set of public sector workers, and a public that has been fed the belief that ousting dishonest and corrupt leaders is enough to lead to immediate economic improvements." ⁵⁸

This is a crucial question, particularly if we take into account that, as the results of the first round showed, where the Islamist candidates lose ground, it is the Nasserite Sabahi that wins. This happened for instance in Alexandria, where the two Islamist candidates, Abul Fotouh and Mohamed Morsi, managed only 37% between them and the winner, as we saw, was the Nasserite Hamdeen Sabahi. It happened also in Cairo areas like in the sprawling Cairo neighborhood of Imbaba - known as the "Islamic emirate of Imbaba" in the early 1990s - where Hamdeen Sabahi also came out as the winner. These trends could only mean that many of the voters for the Islamist parties, who were attracted to them in the past more because of their resistance to the Mubarak regime, rather than because of the religious nature of their parties, and as soon as they become disillusioned with them (in fact pretty soon when they will discover that their economic policies are almost identical to those of Sadat and Mubarak!) they will turn to Nasserite parties, rather than to just another variation of the previous regimes. This is also indirectly confirmed by Nathan Brown,⁵⁹ who pointed out that with the higher echelons of Egypt's Muslim Brotherhood oriented firmly towards a neoliberal economic program, despite popular demands for social justice, a significant proportion of the organization's followers — and its electoral base — could be ripe for fragmentation in the medium and long term.

⁵⁷ Nathan Brown, "A Brotherhood win would resonate far beyond Egypt," *The Guardian* (28/5/2012).

⁵⁸ Ibid.

⁵⁹ Jack Shenker, "A more nuanced picture than at first sight," *The Guardian* (26/5/2012).

Finally, this is also what historical evidence points to, as in many countries, including Morocco and even some Gulf countries, rival political forces are outflanking the Brotherhood when it comes to attracting the urban and rural poor. As Brown stressed: "we could well see something similar happening in Egypt, with working class support siphoning off to Salafists or even to independent labor movements." This becomes even more likely when one takes into account that a quarter of Egypt's 85 million people live in shanty towns and one-third of the government's budget is spent on subsidies, mostly for fuel, which benefit the better-off (with cheap gasoline leading to streets choked with traffic) whereas the subsidies aimed to the lower income groups (bottled butane gas used for cooking by the poor which is heavily subsidized) mostly end up to the pockets of middle men.

Conclusions

At the time of writing, it seems that the series of soft coups by Morsi and the Brotherhood, carried out with the collusion of (at least) parts of the army, under the guidance of the transnational elite (particularly the US-based part of it) has been successful in imposing an Islamic constitution in Egypt and in creating a new kind of client regime based on Islamic "democratization" which could well function as a model for the entire Middle East. The marginal adoption of the Islamic constitution in the first round of the referendum, which is expected to become a clear adoption in the second round, will give the Islamists the momentum to impose the new model in society at large and transform accordingly the Egyptian institutions.

Despite therefore the hypocritical declarations of the transnational elite about the "democratization" of Egypt and the Arab world in general, in fact, all that this elite has always been really interested was a pseudo-democratization that would replace autocratic client regimes that were politically bankrupt, like those of Ben Ali and Mubarak, with a new kind of democratic "clientelism" based on an alliance of Islamists with the middle classes. That is, an alliance which, under the protection of a "professional" army, will secure the integration of the countries concerned into the New World Order of neoliberal globalization and representative "democracy." As a *Financial Times* report described what this process involves: ⁶²

To restore and accelerate the country's growth path, the new government will have to engender an enormous economic restructuring. Ironically, Mr Mubarak's last government was arguably his most reform minded. A democratic replacement should set three guiding principles: promote

⁶⁰ Ibid.

⁶¹ Patrick Cockburn, "The true price of Egypt's freedom," *The Independent* (27/3/2012).

^{62 &}quot;Egypt: in pharaoh's economy," *The Financial Times* (16/2/2011).

private enterprise (Cairo's stock market capitalisation is about \$14.5bn, according to MSCI — not quite half that of Colombia), invest in physical infrastructure, and clamp down on corruption and tax evasion. (...) But its fiscal deficit could reach 12 per cent by 2012, partly because of state subsidies on fuel and food that are indicative of the economic distortions that need to be addressed. A painful transition to a fairer and more productive economy lies ahead. Without it, Egypt's political gains are likely to be fleeting.

However, the "enormous economic restructuring" and the "painful transition to a fairer and more productive economy," which were required by the transnational elite, clearly could not have been achieved by the hated Mubarak regime without the risk of a social explosion. On the other hand, such changes could perfectly well be achieved by a democratically-elected Muslim Brotherhood government, which would have no objections to such a program, as long as it could go ahead with the Islamization of the state (or what Egyptians already call its "Brotherhoodization"). This means that there is no chance that the demands of the victims of neoliberal globalization (the unemployed, the low-waged, the small farmers and selfemployed, the "poor" in general) will be met. But, this is exactly the essence of the New World Order, as expressed by the values of ideological globalization: to create an impression not only of pseudo-democracy but also of pseudorevolution and pseudo-resistance, so that all possible forms of antisystemic struggle are blocked, in a "democratic" way. This is the model successfully tested in Latin America where the old politically bankrupt military dictatorships have been replaced by "democratic" regimes which have proven much more efficient to fully integrate the countries concerned into the NWO of neoliberal globalization and representative "democracy," without the risk of any noncontrollable (by the elites) social turbulences.

However, although the model of Islamic "democratization" is perfectly suitable in all cases of converting authoritarian client regimes (e.g. Tunisia and Egypt) into a new form of more sophisticated client regime where all the rituals and paraphernalia of "democracy" are present, this method is not suitable in the cases where non-client regimes have to be replaced by client regimes (e.g. Libya and Syria). Such cases usually involve regimes with a strong popular base, as they are historically the product of national liberation movements (e.g. Nasserite and Ba'athist movements). In these cases the usual kind of color "revolution" instigated by the transnational elite is very risky in bringing about, by itself, regime change and this is why the transnational elite resorts to the strategy of armed insurrection (Syria) or armed insurrection combined with direct military intervention (Libya) in order to achieve the full integration of such countries into the NWO, as we shall see in the last part of this book.

The practical implication of the above conclusions for the Left (assuming that it has not yet degenerated in its entirety) is that the crucial issue in the NWO is not anymore how to help the struggle to replace authoritarian regimes with "democratic" regimes (which presently is the aim also of the transnational elite, e.g. Tunisia and Egypt!) The real issue is how to help the developing struggle for national liberation, in the sense of gaining or re-gaining economic and national sovereignty in a globalized world, through the creation of self-reliant economies confederated in economic unions that have broken their ties from the rapidly developing "global governance." This could only be achieved through the creation of a broad Popular Front in each country that would unite all patriotic forces that would fight first for national sovereignty (that involves political as well as economic and cultural sovereignty), which is also the fundamental precondition for social liberation, i.e. the systemic form to be adopted once national liberation has been achieved.

http://www.inclusivedemocracy.org/journal/vol8/vol8 no1-2 takis muslim brotrherhood part2.html