

The Zionist Brutalization within the New World Order and the Need for a United Multi-national and Multicultural State*

TAKIS FOTOPOULOS

(06.08.2014)

***Abstract** : The article attempts to show the unprecedented — even by Zionist standards — heights of brutalization reached in the NWO and furthermore examines briefly the relationship between the Transnational Elite, the Zionist Elite and globalization with the aim to draw the conclusion about the imperative need for a one-state multi-cultural state*

Zionist brutalization reaches new heights in the NOW

The unprecedented — even by Zionist standards — present massacre in Gaza, clearly shows how massacres like that of the Palestinians, and the proportionately smaller massacre of Eastern Ukrainians,¹ flourish under the New World Order (NWO). This massacre has been supported directly by the international media² controlled by the Transnational Elite (TE) which manages the NWO, in cooperation with the parliamentary juntas it has imposed everywhere. It is also supported, albeit indirectly, by the degenerate “Left” which has only managed to organize small-scale demonstrations (unless they were also supported by the strong immigrant communities in cities like Paris and London) or to promote firework-style activism such as the “Ship for Gaza,” (easily controlled by the brutal Israeli army) instead of demanding an immediate

* This article is an edited and expanded version of an article that was first published in TF's weekly column in *Sunday's Eleftherotypia* (a Greek daily published in Athens) on 3/08/2014. The translation into English was edited by Jonathan Rutherford.

¹ See Takis Fotopoulos, “Two parallel massacres: Palestine and East Ukraine”, *English Pravda.ru* (30/7/2014).

<http://english.pravda.ru/opinion/columnists/30-07-2014/128159-massacres_palestine_east_ukraine-0/>

² Even the liberal Left *Le Monde Diplomatique* had to stress this fact, see e.g. Serge Halimi, “Unfair and unbalanced”, LMD, August 2014. <<http://mondediplo.com/2014/08/01unfair>>

halt to all diplomatic and commercial relations with a proven, criminal racist regime — through mass demonstrations such as those that interrupted the Vietnam war. Fortunately, there are still some Latin American countries such as Bolivia (which declared Israel a terrorist state)³ and Venezuela, Nicaragua and others which have acted to preserve our collective humanity.

Today, the very concept of humanity is disgraced when an entire people — literally an overwhelming majority of 95%, as shown in the latest polls⁴ — approves of the deliberate bombing of schools (even when used as UN refugee camps), hospitals and ambulances, as well as the demolition of entire neighborhoods. Even more brutal is the “excuse” given by those who gave the order to repeatedly bomb such buildings and dismember children while asleep or playing in hallways, as this was done in full knowledge of this abominable risk, which they nevertheless undertook because, as they alleged, Hamas was using the children as “human shields.” Even if this were true, not only does such an act violate every moral and international law but, furthermore, no military officer who calls him/herself a member of the human race could ever give such an order knowing that it could potentially lead (and repeatedly did lead) to the mass assassination of children!

And if one asks, why all this, we must dismiss from the start the excuses provided by the latest Hasbara, which are obviously addressed to people who stopped thinking a long time ago. I am referring to the supposedly mortal danger created by the Hamas rockets (which mostly fall on open fields and have not led to any significant Israeli casualties for the last couple of years or so), and the similar “danger” created by the famous border tunnels (which, to my knowledge, have not led to any Israeli casualties so far!). Therefore, the only plausible explanation for the abominable brutality still going on in Gaza is the one that takes into account long-term and short-term considerations. The former refers to the Zionist plan for the ethnic cleansing of Palestine, or what Ilan Pappé, perhaps the greatest Israeli historian, has aptly called “Israel’s incremental genocide in the Gaza ghetto”.⁵ The latter refers to the motive of revenge for the killing of three Israeli youngsters, presumably by Arab jihadists (ISIS have even claimed responsibility for it)⁶ who retaliated to the murder of

³ “Bolivia declares Israel ‘terrorist state’, scraps visa exemption agreement,” RT (31/8/2014). <<http://rt.com/news/176852-bolivia-israel-terrorist-state/>>

⁴ The latest survey by the Israeli Democracy Institute reveals that “Less than 4% of Israeli Jews Think the IDF Has Used Excessive Firepower in Gaza”, and that an average of 95% of Jewish Israelis think the war was justified! *The Israel Democracy Institute*, July 2014 Peace Index. <<http://en.idi.org.il/about-idi/news-and-updates/july-2014-peace-index/>>

⁵ Ilan Pappé, “Israel’s incremental genocide in the Gaza ghetto,” *The Electronic Intifada* (13/7/2014). <<http://electronicintifada.net/content/israels-incremental-genocide-gaza-ghetto/13562>>

⁶ “The ISIS “Islamic State” Not Hamas Claimed Responsibility for Murder of Three Israeli Boys”, *Washington Blog/ Global Research* (11/7/2014). <<http://www.globalresearch.ca/the->

two Palestinians by Israelis two weeks earlier — an event that was never mentioned in the West, naturally. Thus, as a liberal Left columnist described it, “in May, two Palestinian teenagers were shot dead by the Israeli army with barely a flicker of interest outside the country”.⁷ And yet, the lives of these three young Israelis had to be “paid” for with hundreds of young Palestinian lives, based on the consistent Zionist rule that surpasses even that of the Nazis: 50 (or even 100) Palestinian victims for every Israeli one. In fact, even British Deputy Prime Minister Nick Clegg felt the need to accuse Israel of imposing a “disproportionate form of collective punishment” on Gaza’s citizens!⁸ Indeed, 80% of those whom the self-proclaimed “most moral army in the world” has murdered are civilians according to early UN data,⁹ while 95% of the individuals killed by those whom they call “terrorists” have been soldiers. The real terrorist, therefore, is undoubtedly the Zionist state, as asserted by the President of Bolivia — yet the Greek parliamentary Junta was recently engaged in joint military exercises with Israel!

So, those Israelis who danced in Tel Aviv during the slaughter, screaming “in Gaza there’s no studying, no children are left there, Olé, olé, olé-olé-olé,” and “Gaza is a graveyard,”¹⁰ were not extremists. In fact, they were simply expressing the feelings of the vast majority of the population who would not dare to do it themselves. This could explain why the Israeli police did not even think to intervene (the only Zionist concern was to remove the film from YouTube!), when of course any corresponding demonstration in the West, with similar slogans shouted against Israelis, would be drowned in blood and immediately labeled “anti-Semitic.” Needless to add that the biggest “anti-war” demonstration in Israel (in fact a rather pathetic gathering which was eventually chased down by the thuggish majority),¹¹ numbered just a few thousand demonstrators, with slogans in favor of stopping the war and advocating peace, but without any slogans attacking the root cause of the conflict: Zionism. On the other hand, when truly progressive white South Africans demonstrated against

[isis-islamic-state-not-hamas-claimed-responsibility-for-murder-of-three-israeli-boys/5390861](#)>

⁷ Seumas Milne, This shameful injustice will only end if its cost rises, *The Guardian* (17/7/2014).

⁸ “Israel attacks are collective punishment!’ Nick Clegg slams Gaza op”, RT (17/7/2014). <<http://rt.com/uk/173592-clegg-israel-gaza-ceasefire/>>

⁹ “Up to 80% of fatalities in Israel’s bombing of Gaza are civilians – UN”, RT (16/7/2014). <<http://rt.com/news/172900-israel-gaza-civilians-un/>>

¹⁰ “‘Gaza is a graveyard,’ sing joyful Israeli youths,” *The Electronic Intifada* (28/7/2014). <<http://electronicintifada.net/blogs/ali-abunimah/gaza-graveyard-sing-joyful-israeli-youths>>

¹¹ Gregg Carlstrom, “Israel’s anti-war marchers attacked on the street”, *The Times* (28/7/2014). <<http://www.thetimes.co.uk/tto/news/world/middleeast/article4159243.ece>>

apartheid, they did not hesitate to attack the corresponding root cause of that conflict: the racist ideology behind it.

Rise of anti-semitism or rise of anti-Zionism?

Today, even Zionist Israel makes no secret of its racism, shamelessly trying to impose the "Jewish" character of the state. Thus, Netanyahu has recently initiated moves to bring about "a rare change to Israel's basic laws — which amount to the country's constitution — to insist Israel is "the nation state of one people only – the Jewish people — and of no other people".¹² This has caused the reaction even of Tzipi Livni, the Justice Minister, who has expressed opposition to "any law that gives superiority to the Jewish nature of the state over the country's democratic values." The practical implication of such legislation would of course be that the return of about 5 million refugees created by the ethnic cleansing that followed the declaration of the new Jewish state, would almost by definition be ruled out for ever. In fact, ethnic cleansing played a crucial role in establishing the Zionist state, as was shown by a young generation of honest Israeli historians like Ilan Pappé and Benny Morris.¹³ Clearly, people like Ilan Pappé and Gilad Atzmon honor the authentic identity of Jews, unlike the vast majority in Israel and abroad who endorse the crimes against humanity of the Zionists in Palestine, not only disgracing the Jewish identity itself, but also turning most people who are not brain-washed by the propaganda of the Transnational elite and the Zionists against the Jews collectively — which inevitably leads to the opposite kind of racism. It is of course one thing to condemn the advocates of a brutally racist ideology that promotes a state founded on the ethnic cleansing of another people (as was the case with Israel from its origins) and quite another to condemn every member of the Jewish people, regardless of whether they support this racist ideology or not.

The rise of anti-Semitism, therefore, which Zionists lament worldwide and deviously identify with anti-Zionism,¹⁴ is due almost exclusively to the Zionist crimes against humanity, which multiplied as soon as the Palestinian resistance against the Zionist occupiers — who kept expanding their state through wars, illegal settlements etc — flourished. However, part of the blame

¹² Peter Baumont, "Netanyahu pushes to define Israel as nation state of Jewish people only", theguardian.com, (Sunday 4 May 2014).

¹³ Ilan Pappé, *The Ethnic Cleansing of Palestine* (Oneworld Publications, 2006); Benny Morris, *The Birth of the Palestinian Refugee Problem, 1947-1949* (Cambridge University Press, 1989).

¹⁴ See on the devious identification of anti-Zionism with anti-semitism, Takis Fotopoulos, "The Middle East and the Left of 'equal distances'", *The International Journal of Inclusive Democracy*, Vol.2, No.4 (November 2006).

<http://www.inclusivedemocracy.org/journal/newsletter/vol2_no4_equal_distances.htm>

also lies with the Zionist Left (Noam Chomsky, Amos Oz, et al.) and their supporters, who never denounced the root cause of “nakba”¹⁵ the Palestinian catastrophe itself: the racist Zionist ideology, even though it has been repeatedly condemned as such by the UN General Assembly (naturally, before the rise of the NWO). Instead, the Zionist Left has merely opposed the “atrocities” committed against Palestinians and has kept promoting the so-called two-state “solution”. This, in full knowledge of the fact that the two state “solution” was always a scam because Israel — as it has already openly stated — will never allow a truly sovereign state (i.e. a state that controls its airspace, sea space and borders, as well as the direction of its economy) to grow beside it.

The Transnational Elite, the Zionist Elite and globalization

The TE and the international Zionist elite (i.e. the non-Israeli Zionist elites) are not of course separate sealed compartments! It is well known that Zionists control significant parts of the transnational elites (economic elites, media elites, political elites, cultural elites and so on). This does not imply the adoption of silly plot theories, drawn from *The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion* and similar texts according to which Zionists control everything e.t.c. Yet, it can easily be shown, just by looking at the origin of many people in these elites (and not just their origin but also the kind of policies they adopt, each on his/her post) that Zionists do play a crucial role in the process of globalization. Furthermore, the emergence of a global governance, was aptly described by Simon Peres, the arch-Zionist president, in his famous speech, a few months ago, when he celebrated as follows globalization, in front of a highly enthusiastic audience of the entire European Parliament:

Globalization put an end to racism. It empowers the individual. Global companies do not impose their will upon people. On the contrary, they respect the will of their clients. They can provide scientific know-how for growth. They can assist young people to acquire high education. To create jobs befitting their skills.¹⁶

Peres then went on to describe how the future world based on globalization should be, namely, the same New World Order as at present, plus an informal global governance:

¹⁵ Takis Fotopoulos, “The “nakba” (catastrophe) and Zionist mythology”, *The International Journal of Inclusive Democracy*, Vol.4, No.3 (July 2008).

http://www.inclusivedemocracy.org/journal/vol4/vol4_no3_takis_the_%27%27nakba%27%27.htm

¹⁶ “Full text of Peres speech to European Parliament in Strasbourg” *Haaretz* (13/03/2013).

Our global world has no global government. It has become almost ungovernable. We have to look for an alternative. I believe the future ways of governing shall rely on three pillars: National governments will continue to be in charge of the husbandry of the national state. Global companies will invest in research and development. And the individuals will enjoy the capacity to govern themselves by knowing the way their brain functions. Science today is more telling than politics. It is universal and borderless. Armies cannot conquer wisdom. Police cannot arrest science. (...) Facing the lack of global governance, we can foster close cooperation between governments and global companies. Facing the dangers that threaten the values for which we stand, we shall fight terror wherever it is, relentlessly.¹⁷

All this has of course nothing to do with any Zionist plot to conquer the world etc. but has everything to do with the globalization process to which these international Zionists are fully involved and, as big capitalists, financiers and so on themselves, do concentrate significant economic, political and other forms of power in their own hands, as all other capitalists also do. However, whereas the only motive of, say, an Anglo-Saxon capitalist is simply to concentrate power in his hands, through, for instance, the TNC he — with others — controls and to promote the cultural values associated with the capitalist market system, for a Zionist capitalist there is an added ideological dimension in his motives which, in a sense, transcends (but also is very much part of) the prevailing capitalist culture. This element of “transcendence” one could argue that arises from the Jewish culture, traditions and so on, including even irrationalities of the “God’s chosen people” kind.

Anyway, irrespective of why most Marxists today are fully integrated into the NWO (e.g. in Europe because their academic and research careers crucially depend on EU financing, and elsewhere simply because they stopped thinking originally about 100 years ago), one could reasonably assume that if Marx was still alive he could perfectly understand this process, as he brilliantly showed in his work “On the Jewish Question”. “Marx,” according to Hyam Maccoby, “argues in the essay that the modern commercialized world is the triumph of Judaism, a pseudo-religion whose god is money”¹⁸ — something that was considered anti-semitic by several Zionist historians including Marxist ones, although the same people usually did not have any qualms about reminding everyone of Marx’s Jewish origin, when they intend to promote the Jewish contribution in History! Thus, as Marx himself put it:

¹⁷ Ibid.

¹⁸ Hyam Maccoby, *Antisemitism and Modernity: Innovation and Continuity* (London: Routledge, 2006), p. 64-66.

Let us consider the actual, worldly Jew — not the Sabbath Jew, as Bauer does, but the everyday Jew. Let us not look for the secret of the Jew in his religion, but let us look for the secret of his religion in the real Jew. What is the secular basis of Judaism? Practical need, self-interest. What is the worldly religion of the Jew? Huckstering. What is his worldly God? Money.¹⁹

If therefore the present era of neoliberal globalization is, as I tried to show in *Towards An Inclusive Democracy*,²⁰ simply the latest phase in the evolution of capitalism, there is nothing peculiar with the absolute interdependence of the TE with the Zionist elite. In other words, Israel is simply today the geographical center of the national part of Zionism but the much more important transnational part of it (political, economic, media etc.) has no geographical center, exactly as the TE itself has no geographical center either.²¹

Therefore, today, “the Palestinian problem” cannot be defined anymore in terms of Israeli Zionists playing the role of imperialist lackeys in the Middle East, as the traditional Left approach stressed. In fact, the entire theory of imperialism adopted by the “Left” today is either completely outdated or deliberately disorienting, as when it is represented by the kind of “Left” which is fully integrated into the NWO I mentioned above. This is why this kind of “Left” ends up with all sorts of irrationalities when it attempts to explain the present conflict between Russia and the West, or more generally between the potential alternative Eurasian pole and the present NWO pole, in terms of intra-imperialist conflicts.²² The inescapable conclusion is that unless the Left in general gets rid soon of these Paleolithic irrelevances, it will simply have the fate of the European Left, which is already dead and buried, apart from the European periphery (Greece and Spain) where it is still flourishing, presumably due to the political un-development of these countries due to the archaic right wing dominance (military junta and Francoism respectively) until recently.

Conclusion: The need for a one-state multi-cultural state

In conclusion, the only long term solution to the “Palestinian problem” was and still is a confederal multicultural state in which all people living in Palestine —

¹⁹ Karl Marx, *On the Jewish Question* (1844).

²⁰ Takis Fotopoulos, *Towards an Inclusive Democracy* (London/NY: Cassell/ Continuum, 1997/1998) ch. 1.

²¹ Takis Fotopoulos, *Ukraine - Defeat for the New World Order or for the Eurasian Union?* (published shortly by Progressive Press), ch. 1.

<[http://www.progressivepress.com/book-listing/ukraine-defeat-new-world-order-or-
eurasian-union](http://www.progressivepress.com/book-listing/ukraine-defeat-new-world-order-or-eurasian-union)>

²² *Ibid.*, ch. 5.

Arabs, Jews, Christians and so on — could live together with equal *practical* rights, including, of course, the right of return for all Palestinian refugees. The 2007 “One State Declaration”²³ signed by both non-Zionist Jews (Ilan Pappé, Oren Ben-Dor, Jonathan Cook, Joseph Massad, et. al.) and Palestinians (Omar Barghouti, Ghazi Falah, Ali Abunimah, Naseer Aruri, et al.) is a very important step in this direction, and I also have put forward a similar proposal for the liberation of Palestine from both Zionist racism and religious fundamentalism elsewhere.²⁴

Yet, with each new heinous Zionist crime, the prospects for this unique solution hopelessly diminish...

²³ The One State Declaration, *Counterpunch* (29/11/2007)

<<http://www.counterpunch.org/onestate.html>>

²⁴ Takis Fotopoulos, “The Zionist attack against the international resistance to the New World Order and the Libertarian Left — A proposal for the liberation of Palestine from Zionist racism and religious fundamentalism”, *The International Journal of Inclusive Democracy*, Vol.6, No. 2/3 (Spring/Summer 2010).

<http://www.inclusivedemocracy.org/journal/vol6/vol6_no2_takis_zionist_attack_libertarian_left.htm>